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Order Independent Transparency

- hair
- foliage
- particles
- windows
- shadows thereof
Standard OIT algorithms

- **Sort primitives**
  - Fails for overlaps
  - Disrupts engine code (not OIT)

- **Depth peeling** [Everitt 2001, Bavoil et al 2007, ...]
  - Unpredictably large # of passes

- **A-Buffer** [Carpenter 1984]
Standard OIT algorithms

Depth complexity from 1 (scarf) to 10’s (grass) to 100’s (hair)
Standard OIT algorithms

Depth peeling:
in the same time as our algorithm, 5 passes
Standard OIT algorithms

- **Sort primitives**
  - Fails for overlaps
  - Disrupts engine code (not OIT)

- **Depth peeling** [Everitt 2001, Bavoil et al 2007, ...]
  - Unpredictably large # of passes

- **A-Buffer** [Carpenter 1984]
  - Unpredictably large amount of memory
Transparency Without Sorting

For each pixel sample, collect **statistics** about the transparent fragments along that ray
- min z, max z, count, total opacity, stranger things
- Estimating the parameters of a model

**Fast:** Fixed passes, fixed memory

**Approximate**
Transparency Without Sorting

- **Variance Shadow Maps** [Donnelly + Lauritzen I3D 2005]
  - collect mean, variance of z

- **Occupancy Maps** [Sintorn + Assarsson I3D 2009]
  - collect counts, occupancy bit mask
  - assumes equal alphas; trouble with multiple clumps

- **Fourier Opacity Maps** [Jansen + Bavoil I3D 2010 – next!]
Stochastic Transparency: Basic Method
cf. [Fuchs et al 1995]
Alpha-to-Coverage  [Akeley 1993]

- MSAA with S samples per pixel  ($S=8$)
Alpha-to-Coverage [Akeley 1993]

- Kill all but $\alpha*S$ samples
- “coverage mask”

$\alpha \approx \frac{3}{8}$
Two fragments with similar alpha cover the same samples -- oops
Idea

- Choose sample masks randomly [OpenGL 1993]
- Correct on average, in all cases
Correct on average

\[ c = \alpha_1 c_1 + (1 - \alpha_1)(\alpha_2 c_2 + (1 - \alpha_2)\alpha_3 c_3) \]

= “over”
Stochastic Transparency

Screen-door + multi-sampling + random masks.

- Correct on average, in all cases
  - Foliage, Smoke, Hair, Glass
  - Mixed together
- Fast
  - One order-independent pass
  - One MSAA z-buffer
- But noisy
  - More samples
  - More algorithms
Stochastic Transparency

(Reference)
Stochastic Transparency

Alg 1. Basic
8 spp
Stochastic Transparency

Alg 1. Basic
16 spp
Stochastic Transparency

Alg 1. Basic

32 spp
Stochastic Transparency

Alg 1. Basic
64 spp
Stochastic Transparency

Alg 1. Basic
512 spp
Motion
(video #1)
Quantization noise

Example:
- 4x MSAA
- \( \alpha = 0.6 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{average} & = 0.6 \\
\text{Example values:} & \quad 0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 0.75, 0.75
\end{align*}
\]
Alpha correction

- One extra pass to render correct total $\alpha$
  \[ 0.5 \times \frac{0.6}{0.5} = 0.6 \]

$\rightarrow$ Correction factor

- One layer $\rightarrow$ exact
  \[ 0.75 \times \frac{0.6}{0.75} = 0.6 \]

- More layers $\rightarrow$
  still noisy
  \[ 0.5 \times \frac{0.6}{0.5} = 0.6 \]
  \[ 0.75 \times \frac{0.6}{0.75} = 0.6 \]
Stochastic Transparency

(Reference)
Stochastic Transparency

Alg 1. Basic
8 spp
Stochastic Transparency

Alg 2. Alpha correction
8 spp
Stochastic Transparency

Alg 3. Depth-based
8 spp
Stochastic Transparency

(Reference)
Stochastic Shadows
Stochastic Shadow Map

- A shadow map, with screen-door transparency
- Noise $\rightarrow$ higher-res map
- Look-up is just PCF
Stochastic Shadow Map
Stochastic Shadow Map

- Optional: Render with MSAA hardware
  → Each map pixel contains S depth values

- Models \( \text{vis}(z) = \)  
  How much light gets from camera to depth \( z \)

- Cf. Deep Shadows [Lokovic and Veach 2000]
Stochastic Shadow Map

\[ \text{vis}(z) \approx \frac{\text{count}(z \leq z_i)}{S} \]
Stochastic Shadow Map

Crude, but compact, regular, and parallel:

- Every pixel looks the same
  - S z-values
  - z’s not sorted

- Look-up is just PCF
  - S comparisons per shadow-map pixel
Depth-Based Stochastic Transparency
Transparent Shadow Map

- How much light gets from camera to depth $z$
  - How much light gets from depth $z$ to camera
  - Contribution of fragment at depth $z$

$\Rightarrow$ Compute $c$ as a weighted sum of fragment colors

$\Rightarrow$ Any transparent shadow method is also an OIT method.
Stochastic Transparency Algorithms

- "Depth based" stochastic transparency
  - Render stochastic shadow map from the camera
  - Accumulation pass
  - Alpha correction pass

- Basic: 1 pass
- Alpha Corrected: 2 passes
- Depth Based: 3 passes
  (Per 8 spp. Add 2 passes per 8 additional spp.)
Motion
(video #2)
Stochastic Transparency is

- Fast: Fixed passes, fixed memory
- Unified
- Simple
- Parallel
- No sorting!
64 spp? “The elegance of brute force”

Connections to Deep Shadow Maps

Connections to Monte Carlo Ray Tracing

Turns transparent stuff into opaque stuff
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